PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

r								
Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000055413				FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. International fi					date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
				08.03.20	05	10.03.2004		
CO Applic	7D487	ent Classification 7/04, A0 tienges	1N43/9		d IPC			
1.				ninary examination r e applicant according		International Preliminary Examining Authority		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.							
3.				NNEXES, comprising				
	a. 🛛	(sent to the	applicant and	to the International F	Bureau) a total of 2	sheets, as follows:		
		sheets sheets	of the descrip	tion, claims and/or d	rawings which have been	amended and are the basis for this report and/or ule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative		
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyone the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplement Box.							
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))								
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.	This rep	oort contains ind	ications relatir	ng to the following ite	ems:			
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	report				
		Box No. II	Priority	*				
		Box No. III		hment of opinion wit	h regard to novelty inven	tive step and industrial applicability		
		Box No. IV			irregard to hovery, miven	tive step and industrial applicability		
		Box No. V	Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
		Box No. VI	Certain docu					
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the internationa	l application			
		Box No. VIII			**			
Jaic OI	suomissio	n of the demand	ı		Date of completion of the	nis report		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP					Authorized officer			
Pacsimile No.					Telephone No			

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002427

Bo	x No.	I	Basis of the report		
1.	Wit	th regard	d to the language, this report is based on the internation nder this item.	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
			report is based on translations from the original langua h is the language of a translation furnished for the purp		,
		Ц	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		\mathbb{H}	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	
			international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	,	
2.	rece	h regard eiving () report):	I to the elements of the international application, this office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are: :	report is based on (replacement s e referred to in this report as "o	sheets which have been furnished to the riginally filed" and are not annexed to
		the in	ternational application as originally filed/furnished		
	M	the de	escription:		
		pages	1-23		as originally filed/furnished
		pages:	*	received by this Authority on	
		pages	*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the cla	aims:		
		nos.			as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		as amended (togethe	r with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.*	1-10	received by this Authority on	13.12.2005 with letter of 12.12.2005
		nos.*			
	П	the dra	awings:		
		sheets			as originally filed/furnished
		sheets		-	
		sheets'			
				received by this Authority on	
		a seque	ence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppleme	ental Box Relating to Sequence Li	isting.
3.		The an	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		∐ t	he description, pages		
			he claims, nos. 4-6		
		<u> </u> t	he drawings, sheets/figs		
		<u></u>	he sequence listing (specify):		
		a	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		This re	sport has been established as if (some of) the amendrave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file	nents annexed to this report and ed, as indicated in the Supplement	listed below had not been made, since tal Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		LJ ti	he description, pages		
		L tl	he claims, nos.		
		LJ tl	he drawings, sheets/figs		
	İ	L] tl	ne sequence listing (specify):		
	l		ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If iten		lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "super		

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002427

Bo		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement							
	Novelty (N)	Claims _ Claims _	1-10 YES NO					
	Inventive step	S) Claims _ Claims	1-10 YES NO					
	Industrial appli	ability (IA) Claims _ Claims _	1-10 YES NO					
2.	Citations and expla	nations (Rule 70.7)						
	V.1	Cited docu	ments					
	D1:	EP-A-0 141	317 (BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT)					
		15 May 198	5 (1985-05-15)					
	D2:	WO 03/0096	87 A (BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT);					
		TORMO I BL	ASCO, JORDI; SAUTER, HUBERT; MUELLE)					
		6 February	2003 (2003-02-06)					
	D3:	EP-A-0 215	382 (BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT)					
		25 March 19	987 (1987-03-25)					
	D4:	GB-A-1 148	629 (VEB. DEUTSCHES HYDRIERWERK					
		RODLEBEN)	16 April 1969 (1969-04-16)					
	D5:	EP-A-0 770	615 (AMERICAN CYANAMID COMPANY;					
		BASF AKTIEN	NGESELLSCHAFT) 2 May 1997					
		(1997-05-02	2)					
	D6:	EP-A-0 614	113 (MITSUBISHI PAPER MILLS, LTD;					

MITSUBISHI PAPER MILLS LTD) 7 September 1994

The same designations will be used throughout the procedure.

(1994-09-07)

V.2 Novelty

The subject matter of claims 1-10 is encompassed in

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

generic terms by the subject matter of claims 1-6 of document D1. However, there are no specific individual compounds in D1 which fall within the present claims, since the R^2 radical in D1 is verified only as CH_3 , $n-C_3H_7$ or $n-C_4H_9$.

The subject matter of the present claims differs from the disclosure in D2 by the definition of the R^2 group, which corresponds to the X group in D2.

The subject matter of the present claims differs from the compounds disclosed in D3 in that the radical corresponding there to the ${\bf R}^1$ group always bears an aryl group.

In the compounds disclosed in D4, the alkyl group corresponding to R¹ only has a maximum of 4 carbon atoms. The intermediates of the formulae IV and V claimed in the application are encompassed in generic terms by the subject matter disclosed in D5. In the compounds mentioned specifically in D5, however, the radical corresponding to the R¹ group is phenyl. D6 discloses two compounds which differ from the intermediates of the formula IV only with regard to the R² radical. The subject matter of all present claims is therefore novel.

V.3 Inventive step

V.3.1 According to the description, the problem underlying the application is considered to be that of providing 5,6-dialkyl-7-aminotriazolopyrimidines which are superior in their fungicidal action to the similar compounds known from D1.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- V.3.2 Relevant prior art for the subject matter of claim 1 is the documents D1 to D3, since they disclose fungicidally active 7-aminotriazolopyrimidines. The structurally closest prior art is D1, since it discloses compounds which, with regard to the R² group, differ from the compounds now claimed, which bear an ethyl, ethenyl or allyl group, in that they bear an n-propyl group or an n-butyl group (D1, compounds 21, 23, 42 and 48). The compounds according to the application which bear ethyl as the R² group are encompassed in general terms even by D1 (see D1, page 2 lines 1-5).
- V.3.3 A person skilled in the art faced with the problem defined above would certainly be induced by the general disclosure from D1 to prepare novel compounds which, though, are within the generic disclosure of D1, while being able to assume that these compounds would likewise have fungicidal properties. This is precisely what has been done in the present case, and it is therefore unsurprising that the compounds according to the application actually have fungicidal action.
- V.3.4 However, the applicant has submitted tests with which particular compounds according to the application are compared to the structurally closest compounds from D1. It is evident from these tests that some preferred embodiments of the compounds according to the application, specifically those now claimed in which R^2 has particular definitions, have an unexpectedly higher activity. When, in compounds from D1, ethyl is introduced for the methyl radical which corresponds to R^2 , the compounds thus formed have a significantly

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002427

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

improved activity against late blight in tomatoes. The replacement of propyl by allyl also leads to significantly improved action. Compounds according to the application which bear the radicals mentioned therefore solve the problem defined above in a non-obvious manner. An inventive step can therefore be acknowledged for them.

- V.3.5An improved activity as a result of the R² radical = ethenyl has not been shown specifically and is therefore doubtful. Introduction of the R^2 radical = ethenyl has therefore solved a problem different from that defined above, specifically merely the provision of further (not necessarily improved) fungicidally active 5,6-dialkyl-7-aminotriazolopyrimidines. However, the ethenyl derivatives are neither disclosed nor suggested in D1, such that the involvement of an inventive step can be acknowledged for these compounds too owing to their non-obvious structure. However, it is emphasized that, owing to the different technical problems solved (compounds where R^2 = ethyl or allyl have an unexpected technical effect, namely improved action; compounds where R^2 = ethenyl have a non-obvious structure and therefore constitute further compounds not suggested by the prior art), the ethyl and allyl derivatives on the one hand and the ethenyl derivatives on the other hand are based on two different inventive concepts, as a result of which the subject matter of the claims must be designated as lacking unity of invention.
- **V.3.6** It remains to be emphasized that inventive step can be acknowledged for substance claims 1-4 and the use claims 8 to 10.

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/002427

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

V.3.7 The processes disclosed by process claims 5 and 7 are analogous to those disclosed in D1; the intermediates encompassed by claim 6 too are analogous to those from D1. However, an inventive step can likewise be acknowledged for claims 5 and 7, and also 6, since the intermediates claimed are converted to inventive end products with the aid of the processes claimed.

V.4 Industrial applicability

The subject matter of claims 1-10 is industrially applicable.